

\$PNNFOUE

Title:

"2SHQ \$, V /HJDO %DV WKH 3HQJXLQ (IIHFW

Author(s):

-DPHV %RXGUHDX

rights for it to begin with, so long as there are no costs to bargafhilingthis case, he court's decision determines the initial state of rights, thredlobbying process that informs legislatisers analogous to the bargaining process that ultimately determines how resources are used. As long as the court's decision does not interfere with that process, it doesn't matter.

Sometimes however, a court's decision will impact the future capabilities interested parties, specifically to the analyse of the initial court decision could reduce or even eliminate a firm's opportunity to fight for its interests in the second stage game thereby

Institutes of Health and the National Library ofe Micine, claiming that the unauthorized photocopying of medical journal articles violated their copyrighthe case eventually reached the Supreme Courts Williams & Wilkins Co. v. United States (1975) where a divided court ended up ruling 4+ (Justice Harry Blackmun recused himself), affirming the lower court's ruling that the photocopying involved constituted "fair use" of copyrighted materials.

It was close, e Hauli ()]TJ -0.004 Tc 0.004 Tw 7.986.>BD0[(t)-6 (h)-4 (e S)-8e Htout

would consume digital media for the foreseeable futurable copiers and VCRs allowed consumers to enjoy facsimiles of copyrighted materials in different ways than they had before (reading outside the library, watching at later times), filesharing went further, allowing seemingly