

Adult Learning Committee Meeting Minutes

December 2, 2015

1:00pm– 2:30pm

Attending Members Leslie Himot, Sam Pierquet, David Joffe, Judy Abbott, Joan Dominick, Harrison Long, Greg Wiles, Bob Mattox, Gail Markle, Brandi Williams, Elke Leeds

Guests Frank Wills (Military & Veterans' Services)

- x Minutes Review
 - o Joan moved to approve minutes
 - o Harrison seconded
- x Digital badge program is ready for people to be added to the program
 - o So coordinators can show people who have questions about PLA
- x UniversityPLACoordinator Description
 - o Half time faculty with potential to become fulltime
 - o Prior LearningAssessmentwill be a section within TechnologyEnhancedLearning
 - o The PLA Coordinator will report to the Associate VP of Technology Enhanced Learning
 - f Committee Chairs can rotate, having the AVP as the reporting person is constant
 - f The Coordinator should not chair the committee
 - o The position has been approved in principle by Dr. Harmon
 - f Money has been secured for the position
 - o The position should be filled by a permanent faculty member
 - f Remove TenureTrack from the description
 - f Allows instructors to apply for the position
 - o Compensation should include ‘

- f* Will still need definitive data and information showing learning, not just experience
- o Set up training workshops to help facilitate knowledge in the acronyms and data that students may have
 - f* Half day training sessions for the coordinators
 - f* Create a retreat, such as when eCore started
 - x Somewhere local, but fun
 - x Bring in a portfolio expert
 - x Someone who can explain the military side
- o Currently, military students lean toward criminal justice, biological sciences, nursing (here at least)
 - f* We may consider working with departments to get programs developed in these disciplines
 - f* Consider reaching out to faculty staff with ties to or interest in the military who could assist with development
- o University coordinator should filter, and then contact department coordinators to see what they will accept
- o Share the descriptions of the tests, ACE evaluator process, etc. to the drop box
 - f* Speaks to the validity of the processes
- x David brought in a sign in sh10.6 (n)2. 520.7 (gh)-0.8 (t)-5.9 .1 (es)1.7 (06 TwhC945.27 (m) 6..216)436 (a) (t) 100